



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

In 'The Auk,' XXIV, p. 97, I illustrate my belief that the taking of a bird with the skin of the abdomen thickened and other indication of having recently bred was not positive proof that it did so in the locality where taken, and in 'The Auk,' XXIV, p. 145, Mr. Taverner considers this an "oblique criticism" of his record of the Savanna Sparrow breeding in St. Clair County ('The Auk,' XXII, p. 89). He is mistaken. I had no means of knowing that he met with the species in considerable numbers together with the above mentioned indications of having bred. It is certain he recorded the species as breeding on no such evidence. Here is his record: "June 18, 1904, near Pearl Beach, St. Clair Co., on the edge of the St. Clair Flats, I found a colony of these birds breeding. About a dozen birds were seen." Not only did he find no nests or young but did not even succeed in collecting an adult bird, according to Mr. Swales. The finding of the species in considerable numbers, etc., were the result of later investigation and have nothing to do with the above record.—J. CLAIRE WOOD, *Detroit, Michigan.*

Probable Breeding of the Wandering Tattler in the Interior of Alaska.—While collecting for the U. S. Biological Survey near the upper MacMillan River, Yukon Territory, I secured an immature example of the Wandering Tattler September 5, 1904. It was first observed on some gravel bars near the head of Russell Creek, a small tributary entering the MacMillan from the north near the main forks of the latter. Although apparently a migrating bird, it was so young that it seems probable it was hatched at least somewhere in the interior of northwest America. It was quite able to fly, but the neck and head were still downy.

Further evidence of the breeding of this species has been obtained recently by Charles Sheldon of New York, who spent the summer of 1906 in the Alaskan Range and about Mount McKinley, Alaska. Mr. Sheldon sends me the following extract from his notes taken July 28, 1906, while encamped at the foot of Peters Glacier, Mount McKinley:

"Raining very hard in the morning so we could not pack the horses and start. At 2 p. m., Jack Hayden and I started to climb the ridges and look for my knife which I lost yesterday, evidently while skinning a young marmot. We killed a cony and ground squirrel with the 22-rifle, also a large female marmot. Passing two little lakes buried in the gravel moraine of the Peters Glacier, I saw a pair of sandpipers. They appeared much disturbed. As we went to shoot one, they kept flying about and lighting on willow trees. After repeated shots, Hayden finally killed one. After each shot at the bird as it sat in the tree, it would fly in a short circle and come back and alight on the tree, always near one place. The other kept flying about near. It was clear they had young ones near. A short search failed to reveal them and we had not time to look very long."

The specimen was preserved and proved to be the Wandering Tattler (*Heteractitis incanus*). It is now in the collection of Dr. Leonard Sanford of New Haven.—WILFRED H. OSGOOD, *U. S. Biological Survey.*